G.R.S. IX—5]

Previous references. 1922. **File No.** 1-22

(G7)

Serial Nos. 4776

Preserved

Later references.

4.7.6-22-31+32

G.F. 1-26-72, 74, 83, 84

G.F. 8-29-86, 87, 97

G.7. 97-34-(1-3)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

G7 79-36(1-2)

12-E *Serial Nos.* 2

Part B for [illegible], 1923

SUBJECT

Misuse of the Grant of House Building advances.

~~[illegible]~~

---

76) H 4281 d 15/3/1923 to the
Dy Commr - Bangalore
Directs him to convey the observations
& remarks of Govt to the officers who
have misused the H.B. advances raised to them

[illegible]
2/3

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Gr 2 File No. 1 of 1922

Serial No.

47 From D.C. Bangalore, No. 7571/22 dt. 26.12.22 - Reports for the information of Govt. The cases of certain officers who had been granted House building advances have not carried out the specific purposes for which the advance was sanctioned by Govt. etc.

Submitted for orders.

18/1 BM 19.1.23

This is a report submitted to Govt under clause XII of Art 26 of the Mysore Account Code Vol I. The Dy Comr's report fully deals with the cases of each officer reported against

Secy)

mds 12/2/23.

The information wanted by the Secretariat in another case (Mr. Singleton of the Survey Corps) has been refused to Complete this report is awaited

11/2 Since Received and submitted herewith

mds 12/2/23

P.T.O.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

Of the cases reported by the Deputy Commissioner those of Messrs. R.V.Sundaram Iyer and G.B.Heblikar are the worst. Neither of these officers spent any part of the amount drawn by ~~them~~ him towards building houses and they misled the Government into believing that the advances drawn by them were properly used. Soon after they drew the amounts they should have decided whether they proposed to build or not to build houses and in the latter case they should have promptly repaid the money. But, they retained the money and went on repaying it in instalments and thus obtained ~~an~~ interest-free loans at the expense of Government. Mr. Sundaram Iyer is now retired and has repaid the whole amount drawn by him and nothing can be done in his case. Mr. Heblikar was quite wrong in what he did and the only action that can be suggested in his case is to tell him that Government view his conduct in this matter with dis-approval and direct that he be debarred from any concession in regard to House Building Advances hereafter.

Mr. V.R.Thyagaraja Iyer represents that ~~xxx~~ he has spent the whole money drawn by him and that his building work has, for some reasons, been suspended. If we believe his statement, he has allowed the whole amount to be locked up and is thus sustaining some ~~xx~~ loss, and no further notice need I think be taken in his case.

essrs.

J. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 1 of 1922

Serial No.

Messrs. B. Vasudeva Rao, M.G. Singrachar and P. I. D'souza represent that they have partly spent the money drawn by them out, as pointed out by the Deputy Commissioner, they were wrong in retaining the unspent portion when they were not serious in building houses. These three officers have also fully repaid their advances and the only notice that can be taken of their conduct is to tell them that the 2nd instalments of the advances will not be sanctioned to them. The Deputy Commissioner states that Mr. A. Narasimhachar has not replied to him at all. He may also be told that the 2nd instalment will not be given to him. If the above proposal is approved, the Deputy Commissioner will be informed accordingly and to communicate the orders of Government to the officers concerned.

Dewan

(3-2-23)

...S OFFICE.
Rec... FEB 1923
... 4764

Draft Submitted.

(Secretary)

Sheet.

A580

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT NOTES.

Subject ... X2364

J. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

GD File No. 1 of 19 22

Fl. U. O.

Serial No.	
76	To D.C. Bangalore, X 4281 dt 15.3.23 directs him to convey the observations remarks of Govt. to certain officers of Govt. who have misused the H.B. Allowances sanctioned to them. They a copy of the above letter be forwarded to Comptroller for his information.
	Draft endorsement on the previous draft is submitted.
	X 44448 dt 22.3.23 to Comptroller forwards copy of GL No for information

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

25931

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore Dist.

To

The Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore
Financial Dept
Bangalore

Dated 21st December 1922
Despatched - 26th do.
No. Ex. 751/22-23

Reporting for the information
of Govt the case of certain
officers who has been granted
house building advances
& who had not applied for
the second instalment.

Diary No. 2369

'22 - 1923

No. [illegible] 22-23

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Dated Bangalore, 21st December '22.
26

From

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

M.N. Krishna Rao, Esq., B.A.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that on an inspection of the Register of this office, it was found that 29 officers and officials to whom House Building Advances had been sanctioned, had not applied for the second instalment even after the lapse of about a year from the date of their drawing the first instalment. A letter was accordingly addressed to them in accordance with para XIII of Article 263 of the Mysore Account Code, as per copy enclosed, in September last requesting to be informed as to how the first instalment had been spent etc. Of the twenty nine, three having explained the progress made, the work was inspected by my Personal Assistant and the payment of the second instalment was authorized. Of the remaining twenty-six the marginally noted seven officers have drawn an advance over Rs.1,000/- as the first instalment. The replies received from six of them (Mr.Narasimhachar not having replied till now show that the provisions of the Rules under which the advances were sanctioned, have not been complied with.

	Name.	Amount drawn.
1.	Mr.R.V.Sundaram Iyer,	Rs 6000 M
2.	,, V.R.Thyagaraja Iyer,	Rs.7,200/-
3.	,, G.B.Heblikar,	2,400/-
4.	,, B.Vasudeva Rao,	1,800/-
5.	,, A.Narasimhachar,	1,500/-
6.	,, M.G.Singrachar,	1,620/-
7.	" P.J. D'Souza	6600 M

2. In the letter addressed by this office, information was called

called for under three specified heads, but, with the excention of the replies received from Messrs. V.R. Thyagaraja Iyer and M.G. Singrachar, the others have, more or less, avoided answering the definite questions.

3. Messrs Sundarama Iyer and G.B.Heblikar have said that they have paid back the first instalment in full and that they do not intend to apply for the second instalment. The fact of repayment was, of course, well known and need no mentioning. Mr.Heblikar has not thought it fit to send an answer to a further reference asking for definite replies to the points. Mr.D'Souza ~~further~~ furnished in abstract items of expenditure to the extent of Rs.4,900/- and added that he had purchased an adjacent site at a cost of Rs.2,300/-. He has not stated when he spent these amounts, and the site value paid cannot be counted against the advance drawn from Government under standing orders. Mr.Vasudeva Rao writes that as he was transferred to Shimoga after he drew the advance and while he was making -- arrangements to start the work, he was unable to do anything in the direction. Mr.M.G.Singrachar has spent Rs.950/- in purchasing a site which as observed in the case of Mr.D'Souza cannot be taken into consideration. Copies of the replies received from them are herein enclosed.

4. I have not considered it necessary to refer to the cases of the smaller officials whose advances amounted to only a few hundred rupees. All the advances have been repaid and no further action seems called for.

5. But the case of the higher officers, particularly Messrs. P.G. D'Souza, R.V.Sundaram Aiyar and G.B.Heblikar, seems I think to require some consideration as to whether any action is necessary. Mr.D'Souza drw Rs.6,600/- from Government on 28th October 1919 and he now says he has spent Rs.4,900/- on collecting materials,

but he does not say when he collected these materials. No house has been constructed or been commenced yet. Mr.Sundaram Iyer drew Rupees 6,000/- on 20th February 1919 and he has obviously done nothing towards the construction of a house. Mr.Heblikar drew Rs.2,400/- on 29th -- September 1919 and he has also obviously done nothing in the matter of constructing a house.

It is no doubt true that in all these cases the advances have been repaid by compulsory monthly deductions from pay in two years, but the fact remains that the officers mentioned have not carried out the specific purpose for which the advance was sanctioned by Government.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

B Ramaswamy

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner

Bangalore District,

No.O.C.751/22-23 Dated Bangalore, 2nd October 1922.

From

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

To

x x x x

Sir,

It is found from the Registers of this office that the first instalment of the House-Building Advance sanctioned to you in Government order No. ..... of the ..... was drawn by you on ....., and it does not appear that there has been an application from you for the second instalment of the sanctioned loan.

I request that you will be so good as to inform me within ten days of the receipt of this communication- (a) What progress has been made in the constructions of the house, (b)whether the whole amount, or what portion of the amount drawn from Government has been spent up to date towards the construction of the house, and (c) -- whether the second instalment of the loan is intended to be applied for or not.

I have the honor etc.,

Sd/- B.Ramaswamaiya,

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

"C O P Y"

B Ramaswamaiya

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Letter dated 17th October 1922 from Mr.R.V.Sundaram Iyer, Retired Deputy Chief Engineer, to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

With reference to your docket No.751/22-23 dated 2nd October 1922 in re the house-building advance sanctioned to me, I beg leave to invite reference to correspondence ending with your No.274/21-22 dated 6th August 1921 and to state that as stated therein, I do not intend applying for the second instalment of the advance as I am retired from service, and that I have got back the mortgage bond duly cancelled, the first instalment having been repaid in full.

"C O P Y"

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Letter dated 10th October 1922 from Mr.G.B.Heblikar, Special Income-tax Collector, Bangalore, to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

With reference to your letter No.O.C.751 of 22-23 dated the 2nd instant, I have the honor to state that the first instalment of the House Building Advance drawn by me in September 1919 was repaid in full and no balance remains unadjusted. I do not intend applying for the second instalment of the loan.

"C O P Y"

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Letter dated Bangalore, 10th October 1922 from Mr.V.R. Thyagaraja Iyer, Census Superintendent in Mysore, to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

With reference to your letter No.751/22-23 dated 19th September and despatched on the 2nd instant regarding the first instalment of the house building advance sanctioned in Government order No.Fl.4325-7/G.F.9-18 dated 6th December 1919, I have to reply categorically to the three questions put in your letter.

(a) The site was levelled; a large well revetted with stones has been constructed and two brick kilns were burnt on the site.

(b) The whole amount and more has been spent, until the liquidation of the loan from Government, on the above items of work.

(c) For certain reasons, it was decided to suspend building operations temporarily. Till the necessary arrangements are made for resuming building operations, I have no intention to apply for the second instalment of the loan.

"C O P Y"

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Letter dated 25th October 1922 from Mr.B.Vasudeva Rao, Head Master, Govt.Collegiate High School, Bangalore, to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

With reference to your kind letter No.O.C.751/22-23 dated the 2nd October 1922, I have the honor to state that as I received your communication on the 4th instant, the very day on which I received orders of transfer and I have all along been busy in packing and unpacking and settling down here, the delay was unavoidable for which I request I may be kindly excused.

I applied for the House building advance and drew the amount in January. I was at Chickballapur and was making arrangements for filling up the pit and beginning the construction of a descent bungalow. But before my arrangements could ripen, I was all at once transferred to Shimoga. This frustrated my endeavours as on account of the distance, supervision became impossible and the construction of a house therefore prohibitiv -ly costly. I had since been making earnest efforts at a trans fer to Bangalore to enable me to begin house construction. Now that I am come to Bangalore, I have already taken steps preliminary to starting the work. I have advanced a hundred rupees for filling up the pit in the centre and shall advance more money towards it if necessary. I shall apply for a -- second instalment of the loan when I feel the need of it with the progress of the work. I may in conclusion be permitted to add that the whole amount has already been paid back to -- Government.

"C O P Y"

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Letter No.502/A.E. dated 31st October 1922, from Mr.M.G.Singarachar, Agricultural Engineer, Bangalore, to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

With reference to your O.C.No.751/22-23 dated 2nd October 1922, I have the honor to report that -

(a) Materials are under collection for the construction of the house.

(b) A sum of Rs.1,200/- have been spent up to-date (Rs.950/-, had to be paid towards the purchase of the site).

(c) The second instalment will be applied for in the course of a month.

"C O P Y"

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Letter No.O.C.1357/22-23 dated Bangalore, 20th October 1922 from Mr.P.G.D'Souza, the Director of Industries and Commerce in Mysore, to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

With reference to your No.751 of the 2nd instant, regarding the House Building Advance sanctioned to me, I have the honor to state that the advance already drawn has been paid back in full and the advance has been utilized in filling the site and making it suitable for building in making bricks and collecting stones and other materials. I had to stop operations for some time as I was away from India. I am making arrangements to proceed with the work. I shall apply for the second instalment when construction work is begun.

"C O P Y"

G. Ramaswamy

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

15

No 7L4281

15 March 23

16th March 1923.

From Financial Secretary to Govt

G.O. No. 1—22—26

B. Venkoba Rao, Esq., B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 7511-22 dated 26th December 1922 reporting the cases of certain officers who obtained advances for building houses in Bangalore but who did not utilise them for the purpose for which they were intended. The following orders have been passed by Government and I am to request that they may be communicated to Messrs G.B.Heblikar, B.Vasudeva Rao, M.G.Singrachar & Narasimhachar and P.G.D'Souza:-

Of the cases reported, those of Messrs R.V.Sundaram Iyer and G.B.Heblikar are the worst. Neither of these officers spent any amount drawn by them towards building a house and they misled the Government into believing that the advances drawn by them were properly used. Soon after they drew the amounts, they should have decided whether they ~~should~~ proposed to build a house or not and in the latter case, they should have promptly repaid the ~~money~~ advance, but they retained the money and went on repaying it in instalments and thus obtained interest free loans at the expense of Government. Mr. R.V.Sundaram Iyer is now retired and ~~nothing can be done~~ further action is called for in his case. Mr. G.B.Heblikar was ~~quite~~ wrong in what he did and Government view his conduct with disapproval and direct that he be not allowed any concession in regard to house building advance hereafter.

Mr. V.R.Thyagaraja Iyer is reported to have spent the whole money drawn by him in purchasing a site and sinking a

well

10

well and his case does not call for any notice.

Messrs B.Vasudeva Rao, M.G.Singrachar and P.G.D'Souza, it is reported, have partly spent the money drawn by them, but as pointed out by ~~the Deputy Commissioner~~ Joint, they were wrong in retaining the unspent portion when they were not serious in building houses. The second instalment of the advance will not be allowed to them.

Mr. A.Narasimhachar is reported to have not replied to the Deputy Commissioner's communication to him. He was ~~quite~~ wrong in having retained the money and the second instalment of the advance will not be allowed to him also

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

12/3/23

FINANCIAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

Memo No: FC 4462 22/3/23

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Comptroller for information

B.O.
19/3
for Secretary

17/3/23